UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,380	01/28/2004	Ashwin J. Mathew	03226.443001;SUN030034	4747
³²⁶¹⁵ OSHA LIANG	7590 11/20/2007 L.L.P./SUN	EXAMINER		
	IEY, SUITE 2800	KIM, PAUL		
HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lord@oshaliang.com hernandez@oshaliang.com DOCKETING@OSHALIANG.COM

•	
T	•
a	_,
	_

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/767,380	MATHEW ET AL.	•			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul Kim	2161				
	ne MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	-			
Period for R	eply		·				
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (- If NO perio - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by specified by the Office later than three months after the retent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Re:	sponsive to communication(s) filed on (04 September 2007.					
2a)∐ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
clo	sed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims						
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-19 and 32-42</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∏ Cla	im(s) is/are allowed.						
·	im(s) <u>1-19 and 32-42</u> is/are rejected.						
•	im(s) is/are objected to.	- 4/ 1					
8)[_] Cla	im(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application	Papers						
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)∐ The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.				
• •	olicant may not request that any objection to	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11)∐ The	oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u> </u>	nowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•					
1.[A Profession				
_	Certified copies of the priority docurCopies of the certified copies of the						
3.[application from the International B		in received in this ivational stage				
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.				
		,					
Attach			•				
Attachment(s)	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date <u>9/7/07</u> .	5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/767,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to the following communication: Request for Continued Examination filed on 4 September 2007.

2. Claims 1-19 and 32-42 are pending and present for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4 September 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 4. Claims 1-7, 12-16, and 32-38 have been amended.
- 5. No claims have been further cancelled.
- 6. No claims have been further added.

Information Disclosure Statement

7. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7 September 2007 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/767,380 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. Claims 1, 3, 5-10, 12, 14, 16-18, 32, 34, and 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cazemier et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,609,123, hereinafter referred to as CAZEMIER), filed on 1 September 2000, and issued on 19 August 2003, in view of Blankesteijn (USPGPUB No. 2002/0165724, hereinafter referred to as BLANKESTEIJN), filed on 23 February 2001, and published on 7 November 2002.
- 10. **As per independent claims 1, 12, and 32,** CAZEMIER, in combination with BLANKESTEIJN, discloses:
 - An automated method of updating data within a peer-to-peer enterprise information system comprising:
 - publishing a data change from a first source system over a broadcast channel, wherein said data change is of a first data type (See BLANKESTEIJN, [0164], wherein this reads over "alternatively placing the data change objects into a data space (e.g., a queue) to be transmitted by the publish interface. If a data change object is to be published/pushed to a client, the control passes to step 156 and the data change object 157 is transmitted to the appropriate client(s)"};
 - in response to said data change, a join engine peer accessing a global attribute object model to identify a second data type and additional attributes of said second data type {See CAZEMIER, C6:L1-7, wherein this reads over "a rich business-oriented metadata model 15 that allows the query engine 30 to generate the best queries"; and C7:L25-42, wherein this reads over "[u]sing the information that is built in the metadata model 15, the query engine 30 makes the specification 35 unambiguous and builds a query in terms of the data access layer 102 for the specification"},
 - wherein said global attribute object model defines a dependency between said additional attributes and attributes of a third data type {See CAZEMIER, C9:L14:L39, wherein this reads over "an attribute may be expressed as a calculation based on other attributes, constants and columns" and "[a] reference join indicates that one entity acts as a lookup table with respect to the other"; and C9:L51-60, wherein this reads over "an entity may inherit information from another entity using a technique called subtyping"};
 - using a query to obtain said additional attributes from a second source system, wherein said query is generated using said global attribute object model {See CAZEMIER, C3:L56-60, wherein this reads over "[t]he query engine also comprises a query engine component for translating the query specification into a data source query which is applicable to the data sources, based on model objects in the metadata model"; and C7:L11-24, wherein this reads over "generating a query that can be executed against the underlining data sources, e.g., a relational database");

Art Unit: 2161

generating a modified attribute set comprising said additional attributes and said data change {See BLANKESTEIJN, [0071], wherein this reads over "[t]he net change server 18 collects the changes (bundled as transaction units) passed through the Audit Trail API 14, reads supplemental information regarding the changes from related data 20 that (if needed), builds a one or more data change objects based upon the collected changes, and performs any desired/required transformations upon the data change objects"); and

publishing said modified attribute set to a third source system, wherein said third source system is associated with said third data type {See BLANKESTEIJN, [0095], wherein this reads over "the publish/subscribe notification mechanism 122 broadcasts changes to the applications that subscribe to particular changes"; and [0164], wherein this reads over "alternatively placing the data change objects into a data space (e.g., a queue) to be transmitted by the publish interface. If a data change object is to be published/pushed to a client, the control passes to step 156 and the data change object 157 is transmitted to the appropriate client(s)"}.

While CAZEMIER may fail to expressly disclose a method wherein data changes are published within a peer-to-peer enterprise information system, BLANKESTEIJN discloses a method wherein data change objects are published to clients across a network. Additionally, it is noted that the disclosed invention found in both CAZEMIER and BLANKESTEIJN are directed to the processing of data changes within a data model or object. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the invention as suggested by CAZEMIER and BLANKESTEIJN.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification so that data may be consolidated and synchronized from various related sources by publishing data changes, and having a join query engine generate queries to retrieve attributes of data types in response to said data changes.

- 11. **As per dependent claims 3, 14 and 34,** CAZEMIER, in combination with BLANKESTEIN, discloses:
 - A method as described in Claim 1 wherein said data change includes at least one changed attribute and all other attributes of said first data type {See BLANKESTEIJN, [0043], wherein this reads over "the changes are transmitted to the end user application unchanged data is not transmitted to the end user unless requested or needed to fulfill a configured specification"}.
- 12. As per dependent claims 5, 16 and 36, CAZEMIER, in combination with BLANKESTEIN:
 - A method as described in Claim 1 wherein said global attribute object model maps dependencies between attributes of said third data type and attributes of said first data type {See CAZEMIER, C9:L14:L39, wherein this reads over "an attribute may be expressed as a calculation based on other attributes, constants and columns" and "[a] reference join indicates that one

Art Unit: 2161

entity acts as a lookup table with respect to the other"; and C9:L51-60, wherein this reads over "an entity may inherit information from another entity using a technique called subtyping"}.

- 13. **As per dependent claims 6 and 37,** it would inherent for the method of forming a modified attribute set to comprise performing a data transformation since the creation or modification of the modified attribute set would necessitate in the transformation of data.
- 14. **As per dependent claims 7 and 38,** it would inherent for the method of forming a modified attribute set to further comprise performing a data transformation for the published data change since the insertion of the published data change into the modified attribute set would necessitate in the transformation of data.
- 15. As per dependent claims 8 and 39, CAZEMIER, in combination with BLANKESTEIN:
 - A method as described in Claim 7 wherein said performing a data transformation is by said join engine peer {See CAZEMIER, C17:L47-55, wherein this reads over "[t]he table extract construction transformation 112c then attempts to determine a relationship between data access layer tables 122 based on the extended record identifiers"}.
- 16. As per dependent claims 9, 17 and 40, CAZEMIER, in combination with BLANKESTEIN:
 - A method as described in Claim 8 wherein said performing a data transformation comprises automatically transforming said data change into a transformation script of a transformation language for implementation by said join engine peer {See CAZEMIER, C16:L20-23, wherein this reads over "the table extract constructing transformation 112c, using SQL statements 124, constructs query specifications 126 that reference data access layer tables 122 and other data access model objects"; and C16:L24-31, wherein this reads over "the table extract constructing transformation 112c builds a corresponding query specification query 126 in terms of data access layer tables 122 and columns}.
- 17. **As per dependent claims 10, 18 and 41,** CAZEMIER, in combination with BLANKESTEIN:
 - A method as described in Claim 9 wherein said transformation language is compliant with XSLT syntax {See BLANKESTEIJN, [0121], wherein this reads over "the data change objects are defined by XML tagged entries defining the various fields of the data change objects"}.
- 18. Claims 2, 4, 11, 13, 15, 19, 33, 35, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CAZEMIER, in view of BLANKESTEIJN, as applied to claims 1, 3-10, 12, 14, 16-18 above, and in further view of Official Notice.
- 19. **As per dependent claims 2, 13, and 33,** the Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the broadcast channel to be associated with an adapter

Art Unit: 2161

peer for a source system for the source data type since it would be necessary to utilize the broadcast channel in effectively publishing the data change in the peer-to-peer enterprise information system.

- 20. **As per dependent claims 4, 15, and 35,** the Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the modified attribute set directly from the published data change when all the additional attributes are contained within the source data type since there would be no need to further access other sources for additional attributes.
- 21. **As per dependent claims 11, 19, and 42,** the Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use transformation language which is compliant with JAVA language syntax since it would have been widely known within the art at the time the invention was made.

Response to Arguments

- 22. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-10, 12, 14, 16-18, 32, 34, and 36-41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 23. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 4, 10-11, 13, 15, 19, 33, 35 and 42 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Kim Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161 TECH Center 2100

APU NIOPIZ EXAMINER